REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE GREEN COUNTY SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2014 TAXES

For The Period April 16, 2014 Through April 15, 2015



ADAM H. EDELEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

www.auditor.ky.gov

209 ST. CLAIR STREET FRANKFORT, KY 40601-1817 TELEPHONE 502.564.5841 FACSIMILE 502.564.2912

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE GREEN COUNTY SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2014 TAXES

For The Period April 16, 2014 Through April 15, 2015

The Auditor of Public Accounts has completed the audit of the Sheriff's Settlement - 2014 Taxes for the Green County Sheriff for the period April 16, 2014 through April 15, 2015. We have issued an unmodified opinion on the financial statement taken as a whole. Based upon the audit work performed, the financial statement is presented fairly in all material respects.

Financial Condition:

The Sheriff collected taxes of \$3,643,774 for the districts for 2014 taxes, retaining commissions of \$149,460 to operate the Sheriff's office. The Sheriff distributed taxes of \$3,492,773 to the districts for 2014 taxes. Taxes of \$270 are due to the districts from the Sheriff and refunds of \$270 are due to the Sheriff from the taxing districts.

Report Comments:

2014-001 The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties Over Receipts And Disbursements 2014-002 The Sheriff Did Not Deposit Funds Intact On A Daily Basis

Deposits:

The Sheriff's deposits as of December 1, 2014 were exposed to custodial credit risk as follows:

• Uncollateralized and Uninsured \$1,621,288

The Sheriff's deposits were covered by FDIC insurance and a properly executed collateral security agreement, but the bank did not adequately collateralize the Sheriff's deposits in accordance with the security agreement.

<u>CONTENTS</u> PAGE

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1
Sheriff's Settlement - 2014 Taxes	4
Notes To Financial Statement	6
REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON	
COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL	
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	11
COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	15



ADAM H. EDELEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Matthew G. Bevin, Governor
William M. Landrum III, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Honorable John H. Frank, Green County Judge/Executive
Honorable Robert Beard, Green County Sheriff
Members of the Green County Fiscal Court

Independent Auditor's Report

Report on the Financial Statement

We have audited the Green County Sheriff's Settlement - 2014 Taxes for the period April 16, 2014 through April 15, 2015 - regulatory basis, and the related notes to the financial statement.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statement

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statement in accordance with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the laws of Kentucky to demonstrate compliance with the Commonwealth of Kentucky's regulatory basis of accounting as described in Note 1. Management is also responsible for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of a financial statement that is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statement based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the <u>Audit Guide for Sheriff's Tax Settlements</u> issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statement, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statement in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statement.



To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Matthew G. Bevin, Governor
William M. Landrum III, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Honorable John H. Frank, Green County Judge/Executive
Honorable Robert Beard, Green County Sheriff
Members of the Green County Fiscal Court

Auditor's Responsibility (Continued)

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Basis for Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

As described in Note 1 of the financial statement, the financial statement is prepared by the County Sheriff on the basis of the accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the laws of Kentucky to demonstrate compliance with the Commonwealth of Kentucky's regulatory basis of accounting, which is a basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The effects on the financial statement of the variances between the regulatory basis of accounting described in Note 1 and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, although not reasonably determinable, are presumed to be material.

Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

In our opinion, because of the significance of the matter discussed in the Basis for Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles paragraph, the financial statement referred to above does not present fairly, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial position of each fund of the County Sheriff, as of April 15, 2015, or changes in financial position or cash flows thereof for the year then ended.

Opinion on Regulatory Basis of Accounting

In our opinion, the financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the taxes charged, credited, and paid for the period April 16, 2014 through April 15, 2015 of the Green County Sheriff, in accordance with the basis of accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the Commonwealth of Kentucky as described in Note 1.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>, we have also issued our report dated September 28, 2015 on our consideration of the Green County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the Green County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> in considering the Green County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Matthew G. Bevin, Governor
William M. Landrum III, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Honorable John H. Frank, Green County Judge/Executive
Honorable Robert Beard, Green County Sheriff
Members of the Green County Fiscal Court

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards (Continued)

Based on the results of our audit, we have presented the accompanying comments and recommendations, included herein, which discusses the following report comments:

2014-001 The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties Over Receipts And Disbursements 2014-002 The Sheriff Did Not Deposit Funds Intact On A Daily Basis

Respectfully submitted,

Adam H. Edelen

Auditor of Public Accounts

September 28, 2015

GREEN COUNTY ROBERT BEARD, SHERIFF SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2014 TAXES

For The Period April 16, 2014 Through April 15, 2015

cial

Charges	Co	umtri Towas	Тот	in a Districts	Cabaal Tayaa	C+	oto Torros
Charges	Co	unty Taxes	1 ax	ing Districts	School Taxes	<u> </u>	ate Taxes
Real Estate	\$	337,354	\$	835,504	\$1,529,130	\$	384,647
Tangible Personal Property		15,857		59,547	71,877		44,725
Fire Protection		786					
Increases Through Exonerations		39		95	175		44
Franchise Taxes		52,832		172,294	238,305		
Additional Billings		32		79	144		38
Oil Property Taxes		3,011		7,457	13,648		3,433
Limestone, Sand and Gravel Reserve	s	156		387	708		178
Penalties		1,953		4,867	8,834		2,242
Adjusted to Sheriff's Receipt		258		(327)	(560)		(197)
Gross Chargeable to Sheriff		412,278		1,079,903	1,862,261		435,110
Credits							
Exonerations		1,469		3,673	6,658		1,760
Discounts		5,475		13,882	24,762		6,714
Delinquents:							
Real Estate		7,697		19,024	34,818		8,758
Tangible Personal Property		176		661	798		504
Franchise Taxes		1,018		3,402	4,529		
Total Credits		15,835		40,642	71,565		17,736
Taxes Collected		396,443		1,039,261	1,790,696		417,374
Less: Commissions *		16,849		43,245	71,628		17,738
Less. Commissions		10,017		13,213	71,020		17,730
Taxes Due		379,594		996,016	1,719,068		399,636
Taxes Paid		379,154		995,867	1,718,310		399,442
Refunds (Current and Prior Year)		170		419	758		194
Due District or							
(Refund Due Sheriff)							
as of Completion of Audit	\$	270	\$	(270)	\$ 0	\$	0
=							

^{*} and ** See next page.

GREEN COUNTY ROBERT BEARD, SHERIFF SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2014 TAXES For The Period April 16, 2014 Through April 15, 2015 (Continued)

* Commissions:

4.25% on \$1,483,630 4% on \$2,160,144

** Special Taxing Districts:

Ambulance District \$ (270)

(Refund Due Sheriff) \$ (270)

GREEN COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

April 15, 2015

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. Fund Accounting

The Sheriff's office tax collection duties are limited to acting as an agent for assessed property owners and taxing districts. A fund is used to account for the collection and distribution of taxes. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

B. Basis of Accounting

The financial statement has been prepared on a regulatory basis of accounting, which demonstrates compliance with the laws of Kentucky and is a special purpose framework. Basis of accounting refers to when charges, credits, and taxes paid are reported in the settlement statement. It relates to the timing of measurements regardless of the measurement focus.

Charges are sources of revenue which are recognized in the tax period in which they become available and measurable. Credits are reductions of revenue which are recognized when there is proper authorization. Taxes paid are uses of revenue which are recognized when distributions are made to the taxing districts and others.

C. Cash and Investments

KRS 66.480 authorizes the Sheriff's office to invest in the following, including but not limited to, obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

Note 2. Deposits

The Green County Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) as required by KRS 66.480(1)(d). According to KRS 41.240(4), the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the Sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution.

GREEN COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT April 15, 2015 (Continued)

Note 2. Deposits (Continued)

Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a depository institution failure, the Sheriff's deposits may not be returned. The Sheriff does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk but rather follows the requirements of KRS 41.240(4). As of April 15, 2015, all deposits were covered by FDIC insurance or a properly executed collateral security agreement. However, as of December 1, 2014, public funds were exposed to custodial credit risk because the bank did not adequately collateralize the Sheriff's deposits in accordance with the security agreement.

• Uncollateralized and Uninsured \$1,621,288

Note 3. Tax Collection Period

A. Property Taxes

The real and personal property tax assessments were levied as of January 1, 2014. Property taxes were billed to finance governmental services for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015. Liens are effective when the tax bills become delinquent. The collection period for these assessments was October 14, 2014 through April 15, 2015.

B. Oil and Limestone, Sand and Gravel Property Taxes

The tangible property tax assessments were levied as of January 1, 2014. Property taxes are billed to finance governmental services. Liens are effective when the tax bills become delinquent. The collection period for these assessments was December 10, 2014 through April 15, 2015.

Note 4. Interest Income

The Green County Sheriff earned \$185 as interest income on 2014 taxes. The Sheriff distributed the appropriate amount to the school district as required by statute, and the remainder was used to operate the Sheriff's office.

Note 5. Sheriff's 10% Add-On Fee

The Green County Sheriff collected \$13,169 of 10% add-on fees allowed by KRS 134.119(7). This amount was used to operate the Sheriff's office.



REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS



ADAM H. EDELEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

The Honorable John H. Frank, Green County Judge/Executive Honorable Robert Beard, Green County Sheriff Members of the Green County Fiscal Court

> Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the Green County Sheriff's Settlement - 2014 Taxes for the period April 16, 2014 through April 15, 2015 - regulatory basis and the related notes to the financial statement and have issued our report thereon dated September 28, 2015. The County Sheriff's financial statement is prepared on a regulatory basis of accounting, which demonstrates compliance with the laws of Kentucky and is a special purpose framework.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statement, we considered the Green County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Green County Sheriff's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Green County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. However, as described in the accompanying comments and recommendations, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statement will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. We consider the deficiencies described in the accompanying comments and recommendations as items 2014-001 and 2014-002 to be material weaknesses.



Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards (Continued)

Compliance And Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Green County Sheriff's financial statement is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed an instance of noncompliance or other matters that is required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards and which is described in the accompanying comments and recommendations as item 2014-002.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Respectfully submitted,

Adam H. Edelen

Auditor of Public Accounts

September 28, 2015



GREEN COUNTY ROBERT BEARD, SHERIFF COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

For The Period April 16, 2014 Through April 15, 2015

FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS:

2014-001 The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties Over Receipts And Disbursements

The Sheriff's office has a lack of segregation of duties over receipts and disbursements. The Sheriff's bookkeeper collects payments from customers and prepares the daily tax collection journals, the monthly tax reports, and the deposits. The bookkeeper also takes the deposits to the bank, prepares checks for payment to districts, and signs the checks. No oversight was performed over any of these functions.

The lack of segregation occurs because the Sheriff failed to segregate incompatible duties or implement oversight duties when duties cannot be segregated. Lack of segregation of duties increases the risk of misappropriation of assets, errors, and inaccurate financial reporting.

Segregation of duties over collecting taxes, preparing daily deposits, preparing monthly reports, and preparing disbursements is essential for providing protection from asset misappropriation and/or inaccurate financial reporting. Additionally, proper segregation of duties protects employees in the normal course of performing their daily responsibilities.

The Sheriff should separate the duties of collecting taxes, preparing daily deposits, preparing and mailing disbursements, and preparing financial reports. If these duties cannot be segregated due to a limited number of staff or a limited budget, then strong oversight should be provided to the employee responsible for these duties. The Sheriff should document these compensating controls by initialing the source documents and requiring two signatures on checks with one being the Sheriff.

Sheriff's Response: No response.

2014-002 The Sheriff Did Not Deposit Funds Intact On A Daily Basis

The Sheriff did not prepare and print daily tax collection reports and make deposits daily. Based on the bank statement, only four deposits were made during the month of February. On the date tested, the tax collection report included five days of tax receipts. Tax receipts are not entered into the tax software at the time of collection. Deputies maintain copies of paid tax bills and payment for the bookkeeper to enter when he prepares the deposit. The deficiency in internal control over the deposit process was allowed to occur because proper controls and oversight were not put in place by the Sheriff. Failure to deposit taxes collected intact daily increases the risk of fraud and misappropriation of cash. This is a non-compliance with KRS 68.210 and 109 KAR 15:020. KRS 68.210 states the State Local Finance Officer "shall prescribe and shall install, by July 1, 1985, a system of uniform accounts for all counties and county officials." The *Instructional Guide for County Budget Preparation and State Local Finance Officer Policy Manual*, under "Handling Public Funds," states a minimum requirement of "Daily deposits intact into a federally insured banking institution." We recommend the Sheriff implement procedures to ensure tax receipts are entered into the tax software at the time of collection. The Sheriff should then prepare daily collection reports and deposit these receipts intact on a daily basis.

Sheriff's Response: No response.